IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND
AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 513 (S/S) of 2007

Reeta Pandey W/o Shri D.N. Pandey
R/o Village Mankot, District Bageshwar
C/o Liladhar Pandey, Panda Book Depot Bageshwar
District- Bageshwar ----- Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Uttarakhand through Secretary Education, Dehradun
2. Additional Director, State Education, Research and Training Counsil, Narendra Nagar, Tehri Garhwal
3. Principal District Education and Training Institute, Bageshwar
4. National Council of Teacher Education, New Delhi

----- Respondents

Hon'ble M.M. Ghildiyal, J.

Heard Sri Rajesh Joshi, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri Paresh Tripathi, learned Standing Counsel State of Uttarakhand for the respondents.

By means of this writ petition, the petitioner has prayed for the following relief:

1. Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding and directing the respondents to permit the petitioner for her participation in the course of Special B.T.C. training conducting by the respondent no. 3 as per the advertisement dated 09.06.2006.
2. Issue any other order or direction, which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.
3. Award the cost of the petition to the petitioner.

From perusal of the prayer itself, it is clear that the respondent has not yet finalized the list of candidates, who are to be considered for training in Special B.T.C. in view of the advertisement dated 9th July, 2006.

The writ petition is pre-mature at this stage. However, it is open to the petitioner to move writ petition for redressal of her grievance, if candidature of the petitioner is rejected by the respondent or a final list has already been prepared by the respondent of all those candidates, who are to be considered for the Special B.T.C.

During the course of argument, learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that the petitioner may be permitted to make representation for redressal of her grievances. Learned counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that the degree, which is in possession of the petitioner, is recognized by the State Government, and the petitioner is entitled to be considered for training in Special B.T.C. and as such she may be directed to make representation before the respondent authority.

It is always open to the petitioner to make representation before the appropriate authority and it is expected from the authority concerned to take decision on the representation of the petitioner in accordance with law within a shortest period.

With this direction, the writ petition is finally disposed of. All the pending applications stand disposed of.

(M.M. Ghildiyal, J.)

15.05.2007
VKS